

An algorithm for two coloring of hypergraphs

Gábor Hegedűs

Abstract

Let $r > 1$ be a fix integer. Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary r -uniform hypergraph. We give an algorithmic, elementary proof of the fact, that there exists a 2-coloring $f : V(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \{r, b\}$ of $V(\mathcal{H})$ with at most $2^{1-r} \cdot |\mathcal{H}|$ monochromatic edges. Our algorithm uses only $O(|\mathcal{H}|)$ steps.

Let $m(n, r)$ denote the minimal number of edges of an r -uniform hypergraph on n points, which is not 2-colorable. As an application, we obtain the following bounds for $m(n, r)$:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r-1}}\right) \binom{n}{r} \leq m(n, r) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^2 \cdot 2^r - 1}\right) \binom{n}{r}.$$

1 Introduction

First we introduce some notation. Let n be a positive integer and $[n]$ stand for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let X be a set, $k > 0$ be a positive integer. We denote by $\binom{X}{k}$ the family of all k element subsets of X .

In [3] P. Erdős proved the following Theorem with simple probabilistic arguments.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $r > 1$ be a fix integer. Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary r -uniform hypergraph. Then there exists a 2-coloring $f : V(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \{r, b\}$ of $V(\mathcal{H})$ with at most $2^{1-r} \cdot |\mathcal{H}|$ monochromatic edges.*

In these notes we prove Theorem 1.1 using a simple, elementary constructive algorithm. Our algorithm uses only $O(|\mathcal{H}|)$ steps.

Let $m(n, r)$ denote the minimal number of edges of an r -uniform hypergraph on n points, which is not 2-colorable. We obtain the following bounds for $m(n, r)$ using Theorem 1.1 and an upper bound for the Turán density of an arbitrary hypergraph.

Theorem 1.2

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r-1}}\right) \binom{n}{r} \leq m(n, r) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^2 \cdot 2^r - 1}\right) \binom{n}{r}. \quad (1)$$

□

2 The algorithm

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary r -uniform hypergraph and define $V := V(\mathcal{H})$.

For each 3-coloring $c : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ we define a nonnegative weight $w(\mathcal{H}, c) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ be a fixed edge. First we define the weight $w(h, c) \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. If there exist two points $v_1, v_2 \in h$, $v_1 \neq v_2$ such that $c(v_1) = r$, $c(v_2) = b$, then let $w(h, c) := 0$.

2. If $c(v) = g$ for each $v \in h$, then let $w(h, c) := 2^{1-r}$.

3. If

$$h = \bar{g} \cup \bar{r}$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set h such that $c(v) = r$ for each $v \in \bar{r}$ and $c(v) = g$ for each $v \in \bar{g}$ and $|\bar{r}| \geq 1$, then let $w(h, c) := 2^{|\bar{r}|-r}$.

4. Similarly, if

$$h = \bar{g} \cup \bar{b}$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set h such that $c(v) = b$ for each $v \in \bar{b}$ and $c(v) = g$ for each $v \in \bar{g}$ and $|\bar{b}| \geq 1$, then let $w(h, c) := 2^{|\bar{b}|-r}$.

Define

$$w(\mathcal{H}, c) := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} w(h, c). \quad (2)$$

Let $G : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ denote the 3-coloring of the set V for which $G(v) = g$ for each $v \in V$.

By the definition of the weight function w

$$w(\mathcal{H}, G) = |\mathcal{H}| \cdot 2^{1-r}. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 2.1 *Let $c : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ be a fixed 3-coloring and $v \in V$ be an arbitrary point such that $c(v)$ is green. Then let c_{red} denote the following coloring: $c_{red}(v) := r$ and $c_{red}(v') := c(v')$ for each $v' \in V \setminus \{v\}$. Similarly, let c_{blue} denote the following coloring: $c_{blue}(v) := b$ and $c_{blue}(v') := c(v')$ for each $v' \in V \setminus \{v\}$.*

Then

$$w(\mathcal{H}, c) = \frac{w(\mathcal{H}, c_{red}) + w(\mathcal{H}, c_{blue})}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Proof.

It is enough to prove that

$$w(h, c) = \frac{w(h, c_{red}) + w(h, c_{blue})}{2} \quad (5)$$

for each $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ be a fixed edge.

1. Suppose that $v \notin h$. Then

$$w(h, c_{red}) = w(h, c_{blue}) = w(h, c).$$

We can assume in the following that $v \in h$.

2. Suppose that for each $v' \in h$, $c(v') = g$. Then

$$w(h, c_{red}) = w(h, c_{blue}) = w(h, c) = 2^{1-r}.$$

3. Suppose that there exist $v_1, v_2 \in h$, $v_1 \neq v_2$ such that $c(v_1) = r$ and $c(v_2) = b$. Then

$$w(h, c) = w(h, c_{blue}) = w(h, c_{red}) = 0.$$

4. Suppose that

$$h = \bar{r} \cup \bar{g} \quad (6)$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set h such that $c(v') = r$ for each $v' \in \bar{r}$ and $c(v') = g$ for each $v' \in \bar{g}$. Let $d := |\bar{r}| \geq 1$. Then

$$w(h, c) = 2^{d-r},$$

$$w(h, c_{blue}) = 0$$

and

$$w(h, c_{red}) = 2^{d-r+1}.$$

5. Finally, suppose that

$$h = \bar{b} \cup \bar{g} \tag{7}$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set h such that $c(v') = b$ for each $v' \in \bar{b}$ and $c(v') = g$ for each $v' \in \bar{g}$. Let $d := |\bar{b}| \geq 1$. Then

$$w(h, c) = 2^{d-r},$$

$$w(h, c_{blue}) = 2^{d-r+1}$$

and

$$w(h, c_{red}) = 0.$$

□

Our algorithm proceeds as follows: First order arbitrarily the points of $v \in V$: $v_1, \dots, v_{|V|}$. For each $0 \leq i \leq |V|$ we define a 3-coloring $c_i : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$.

The initial step: let $c_0 := G$, where $G(v) := g$ for each $v \in V$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq |V|$ be fixed. Suppose that we have defined a coloring $c_{i-1} : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ such that $c_{i-1}(v_j) \in \{r, b\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq i-1 < |V|$ and $c_{i-1}(v_k) = g$ for each $k \geq i$. Now our aim is to define a new coloring $c_i : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ such that $c_i(v_j) \in \{r, b\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $c_i(v_k) = g$ for each $k > i$.

Define the colorings c_{red} and c_{blue} as in Proposition 2.1. Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$w(\mathcal{H}, c) = \frac{w(\mathcal{H}, c_{red}) + w(\mathcal{H}, c_{blue})}{2}. \tag{8}$$

Hence either $w(\mathcal{H}, c_{red}) \leq w(\mathcal{H}, c)$ or $w(\mathcal{H}, c_{blue}) < w(\mathcal{H}, c)$.

First suppose that $w(\mathcal{H}, c_{red}) \leq w(\mathcal{H}, c)$. Then define $c_i := c_{red}$.

Now suppose that $w(\mathcal{H}, c_{blue}) < w(\mathcal{H}, c)$. Then let $c_i = c_{blue}$.

Clearly $c_i(v_j) \in \{r, b\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq i$ and $c_i(v_k) = g$ for each $k > i$.

This algorithm yields finally to a 2-coloring $C := c_{|V|} : V \rightarrow \{r, b\}$. We claim, that if we color the points of the base set $V(\mathcal{H})$ with this coloring C , then we get at most $2^{1-r} \cdot |\mathcal{H}|$ monochromatic edges.

Namely by the definition of the weight function $w(\mathcal{H}, C)$,

$$w(\mathcal{H}, C) = |\{h \in \mathcal{H} : h \text{ is a monochromatic edge in the coloring } C\}|. \tag{9}$$

This is clear, since

$$w(\mathcal{H}, C) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} w(h, C)$$

and $w(h, C) = 1$, if h is a monochromatic edge, $w(h, C) = 0$ otherwise, because C was a 2-coloring.

It is easy to verify from the definition of the coloring $c_i : V \rightarrow \{r, b, g\}$ that

$$w(\mathcal{H}, c_i) \leq w(\mathcal{H}, c_{i-1})$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq |V|$, hence

$$w(\mathcal{H}, C) = w(\mathcal{H}, c_{|V|}) \leq w(\mathcal{H}, c_0) = w(\mathcal{H}, G). \quad (10)$$

We proved in (3) that

$$w(\mathcal{H}, G) = |\mathcal{H}| \cdot 2^{1-r}. \quad (11)$$

The equations (9), (10) and (11) proves the Theorem. \square

3 Application

Given an r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{F} , the Turán number of \mathcal{F} is the maximum number of edges in an r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices that do not contain a copy of \mathcal{F} . We denote this number by $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. It is not hard to show that the limit $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) / \binom{n}{r}$ exists. It is usually called the *Turán density* of \mathcal{F} .

A general upper bound on Turán densities was obtained by de Caen [1], who showed

$$\pi(K_s^{(r)}) \leq 1 - \binom{s-1}{r-1}^{-1},$$

where $K_s^{(r)}$ denotes the complete r -uniform hypergraph on s vertices. Sidorenko gave a construction in [10] (see also [11]) showing that $\pi(K_s^{(r)}) \leq 1 - \left(\frac{r-1}{s-1}\right)^{r-1}$. For a general hypergraph Sidorenko [8] obtained a bound for the Turán density in terms of the number of edges.

Theorem 3.1 *Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary hypergraph, which has f edges. Then*

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{f-2}{f-1}. \quad (12)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

First we prove the upper bound.

P. Erdős proved in [2] that there exists a $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ r -uniform hypergraph with

$$|\mathcal{H}| = (1 + o(1)) \frac{e \cdot \ln 2}{4} r^2 2^r$$

and $\chi(\mathcal{H}) > 2$. Let \mathcal{G} be an arbitrary r -uniform hypergraph with more than $(1 - \frac{1}{r^2 \cdot 2^{r-1}}) \binom{n}{r}$ edges. We can apply Theorem 3.1 for $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{H}$ and $f := (1 - \frac{1}{r^2 \cdot 2^r}) \binom{n}{r}$. We get that there exists a copy of \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{G} , which shows that \mathcal{G} is not 2-colorable.

Now we prove the lower bound.

By Theorem 1.1 there exists a 2-coloring $f : \binom{[n]}{r} \rightarrow \{r, b\}$ of the complete r -uniform hypergraph $\binom{[n]}{r}$ with at most $2^{1-r} \binom{n}{r}$ monochromatic edges. Fix such a coloring. Remove from this colored hypergraph all monochromatic edges. Then this coloring shows that after the removing we get a 2-colorable hypergraph with at least $\binom{n}{r} (1 - 2^{1-r})$ edges. \square

The algorithmic proof of Theorem 1.1 can be used to prove the following more general result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary r -uniform hypergraph. Then there exists an s -coloring of \mathcal{H} with at most $|\mathcal{H}| \cdot s^{1-r}$ monochromatic edges. \square*

The modification of the proof of the lower bound in (1) gives the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *There exists an r -uniform s -colorable hypergraph \mathcal{H} on n points which has at least $(1 - s^{1-r}) \binom{n}{r}$ edges. \square*

References

- [1] D. de Caen, Extensions of a theorem of Moon and Moser on complete subgraphs, *Ars Combin.* **16** (1983), 5–10.
- [2] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem II, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **15** 445–447 (1964)

- [3] P. Erdős, Some Remarks on the Theory of Graphs, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **53** 292–294 (1947)
- [4] P. Erdős, On the Combinatorial Problems Which I Would Most Like to See Solved, *Combinatorica* **1** 25–42 (1981)
- [5] P. Frankl, R. M. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, *Combinatorica* **1** 357–368 (1981)
- [6] V. Grolmusz, Superpolynomial size set-systems with restricted intersections mod 6 and explicit Ramsey graphs. *Combinatorica*, **20**, 73–88 (2000)
- [7] F. P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **30** (2), 264–286 (1929)
- [8] A. F. Sidorenko, Extremal combinatorial problems in spaces with continuous measure, *Issled. Opertsiii ASU* **34** 34–40 (1989)
- [9] A. F. Sidorenko, An analytic approach to extremal problems for graphs and hypergraphs, Extremal problems for finite sets (Visegrád, 1991), 423–455, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 3, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1994.
- [10] A. F. Sidorenko, Systems of sets that have the T-property, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* 19–22, 1981
- [11] A. F. Sidorenko, What we know and what we do not know about Turán numbers, *Graphs and Combin.* **11** (1995) 179–199.