# The Fractional Chromatic Number of Zykov Products of Graphs

Pierre Charbit<sup>\*</sup> Jean Sébastien Sereni<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

Zykov designed one of the oldest known family of triangle-free graphs with arbitrarily high chromatic number. We determine the fractional chromatic number of the Zykov product of a family of graphs. As a corollary, we deduce that the fractional chromatic numbers of the Zykov graphs satisfy the same recurrence relation as those of the Mycielski graphs, that is  $a_{n+1} = a_n + \frac{1}{a_n}$ . This solves a conjecture of Jacobs.

## 1 Introduction

Since trees (connected acyclic graphs) have chromatic number 2, one could think that if G is a graph that locally looks like a tree (i.e. the size of its shorter circuit — its girth — is large), then it has low chromatic number. This was proven to be strongly false by Erdős [1] in 1959, who showed that a high chromatic number can emerge as a consequence of the global structure of a graph, as opposed to its local properties. Introducing what is now called the "deletion method", Erdős proved, by probabilistic means, the existence of graphs with arbitrary high girth and chromatic number. Yet, it took almost ten more years until Lovász [4] managed to design an explicit construction of such graphs. Another short constructive proof was given in 1979 by Nešetřil and Rödl [6]. Before Lovász's result, explicit constructions were only known for some fixed (small) girth. This is why several constructions of trianglefree graphs with arbitrary high chromatic number were designed in the 1950s.

<sup>\*</sup>LIAFA, Université Diderot - Paris 7, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France. E-mail: Pierre.Charbit@liafa.jussieu.fr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>CNRS (LIAFA, Université Diderot), Paris, France, and Department of Applied Mathematics (KAM), Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. E-mail: sereni@kam.mff.cuni.cz.

Among them, the most famous is arguably that of Mycielski [5], dating back to 1955. The *Mycielskian*  $\mathscr{M}(G)$  of a graph G with vertex-set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is obtained by first replacing every vertex  $v_i$  by an independent set  $\{v_i^1, v_i^2\}$ , linking  $v_i^s$  and  $v_j^t$  if and only if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent in G and  $(s, t) \neq (2, 2)$ . Next, a new vertex is added and linked to all the vertices  $v_1^2, \ldots, v_n^2$ . Notice that if G is triangle-free, then so is  $\mathscr{M}(G)$ ; moreover,  $\chi(\mathscr{M}(G)) = \chi(G) + 1$ . In 1995, Larsen, Propp and Ullman [3] gave a short and elegant proof that the fractional chromatic number of  $\mathscr{M}(G)$  satisfies the following unexpected formula.

$$\chi_f(\mathscr{M}(G)) = \chi_f(G) + \frac{1}{\chi_f(G)}.$$

One of the earliest constructions of triangle-free graphs with arbitrary high chromatic number was found in 1949 by Zykov [8]. For each  $n \ge 1$ , the Zykov graph  $Z_n$  is triangle-free and has chromatic number n. Inspired by the relation for Mycielski's graphs, Jacobs [2] conjectured that the fractional chromatic numbers of the Zykov graphs satisfy the same recurrence relation as the Mycielski graphs.

Conjecture. For every  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\chi_f(Z_{n+1}) = \chi_f(Z_n) + \frac{1}{\chi_f(Z_n)}$$

In this article, we prove Conjecture 1 by proving a more general result on a product of graphs. Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  be finite graphs. The Zykov product  $\mathcal{Z}(G_1, \ldots, G_n)$  of  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  is defined as follows.

- Make a disjoint union of all the graphs  $G_i$ .
- For each possible choice of  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in V(G_1) \times V(G_2) \times \ldots \times V(G_n)$ , add a new vertex x with neighborhood precisely  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{Z}(G_1, \ldots, G_n)$  has  $\sum_{i=1}^n |V(G_i)| + \prod_{i=1}^n |V(G_i)|$  vertices. Notice also that the order in which the graphs  $G_i$  are numbered makes no difference in the construction.

It is straightforward to see that if  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  are all triangle-free, then so is  $\mathcal{Z}(G_1, \ldots, G_n)$ . The Zykov graphs is the sequence of graphs  $(Z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ defined by  $Z_1 := K_1$  and  $Z_{n+1} := \mathcal{Z}(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$  for n > 1. Similarly to Mycielski's graphs, one can check that the chromatic number of  $Z_i$  is i.

We establish the following result, which implies Conjecture 1.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  be finite graphs, and set  $\chi_i := \chi_f(G_i)$ . Suppose also that the  $G_i$  are numbered such that  $\chi_i \leq \chi_{i+1}$ . Then

$$\chi_f(\mathcal{Z}(G_1,\ldots,G_n)) = \max\left(\chi_n, 2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i}\right)\right).$$
(1)

Before proving Theorem 1, let us see how it implies that Conjecture 1 is true.

Proof of Conjecture 1. For  $n \ge 1$ , set  $f(n) := 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i}\right)$ . Observe that  $f(n) = 2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_n}\right) \cdot (f(n-1) - 1)$  for  $n \ge 2$ . We now proceed by induction on  $n \ge 1$ . First, notice that  $\chi_2 = 2 = f(1) > \chi_1 = 1$ . Now, assume that  $\chi_n = f(n-1)$  for some  $n \ge 2$ . Then,

$$f(n) = 2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_n}\right) \cdot (f(n-1) - 1)$$
$$= \chi_n + \frac{1}{\chi_n}.$$

Thus,  $\chi_{n+1} = f(n)$  by Theorem 1, and the conclusion follows.

We define the basic concepts in the next section, and then proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 2 Notation

If G is a graph, then V(G) is its vertex-set and E(G) is its edge-set. Let  $\mathscr{I}(G)$  be the collection of all independent sets of the graph G. A weighting of a set  $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathscr{I}(G)$  is a function  $w : \mathscr{X} \to \mathbf{R}^+$ . If  $v \in V(G)$ , then

$$w[v] := \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathscr{X} \\ v \in I}} w(I) \,.$$

A fractional k-coloring of G is a weighting of  $\mathscr{I}(G)$  such that

- $\sum_{S \in \mathscr{I}(G)} w(S) = k$ ; and
- $w[v] \ge 1$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

The fractional chromatic number  $\chi_f(G)$  of G is the infimum of all positive real numbers k for which G has a fractional k-total coloring. In other words, the fractional chromatic number of G is the optimal value of the following linear program.

Minimize 
$$\sum_{S \in \mathscr{I}(G)} w(S)$$
 where  $w$  is a weighting of  $\mathscr{I}(G)$  satisfying  $\forall v \in V(G), w[v] \ge 1$ .

As is well-known, the fractional total chromatic number of a finite graph is always a rational number and the infimum is actually a minimum. Observe that for every graph G, there exists a fractional  $\chi_f(G)$ -coloring w of of Gsuch that w[v] = 1 for every  $v \in V(G)$ . There are other equivalent definitions of a fractional coloring of a graph, and we refer to the book by Scheinerman and Ullman [7] for further exposition about fractional colorings (and, more generally, fractional graph theory).

### **3** Proof of Theorem 1: Lower Bound

We use the notations from Theorem 1. Further, we set

$$f(n) := 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{k \ge i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i} \right)$$

for  $n \ge 1$ .

First,  $\chi_f(G) \ge \chi_n = \chi_f(G_n)$  since  $G_n$  is a subgraph of  $G = \mathcal{Z}(G_1, \ldots, G_n)$ . So, we focus on proving that  $\chi_f(G) \ge f(n)$ . We assume that  $n \ge 2$ , the result being trivial if n = 1 (since G contains at least an edge). We start with the following observation.

**Lemma 1.** Let G be a graph and w a weighting of  $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathscr{I}(G)$ . Then, for every induced subgraph H of G, there exists  $x \in V(H)$  such that

$$w[x] \le \frac{1}{\chi_f(H)} \sum_{S \in \mathscr{X}} w(S).$$

Proof. Let  $w_H$  be the weighting of  $\mathscr{I}(H)$  defined by  $w_H(I) := \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathscr{X} \\ S \cap V(H) = I}} w(S)$ . Note that  $w_H(\emptyset) = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathscr{X} \\ S \cap V(H) = \emptyset}} w(S)$ . Moreover,  $w_H[v] = w[v]$  for every  $v \in V(H)$ . Set  $m := \min_{v \in V(H)} w_H[v]$ . It suffices to show that  $m \leq \frac{1}{\chi_f(H)} \sum_{S \in \mathscr{X}} w(S)$ . The function  $w' := \frac{1}{m} \cdot w_H$  is a fractional k-coloring of H with  $k := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{I}(H)} w_H(I)$ . Thus,  $k \ge \chi_f(H)$ . Further,

$$\sum_{I \in \mathscr{I}(H)} w_H(I) = \sum_{S \in \mathscr{X}} w(S)$$

by the definition of  $w_H$ , and hence the conclusion follows.

Let w be a fractional  $\chi_f(G)$ -coloring of G and let  $x_1 \in V(G_1)$ . Set

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \{ S \in \mathscr{I}(G) : x_1 \in S \}$$

By the definition,

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1} w(S) = w[x] \ge 1 \,.$$

Applying Lemma 1 with  $H := G_2$  and  $\mathscr{X} := \mathcal{F}_1$ , we deduce that there exists  $x_2 \in V_2$  such that

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_1 \\ x_2 \in S}} w(S) \le \frac{1}{\chi_2} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1} w(S) \,,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\substack{S \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \\ x_2 \in S}} w(S) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{\chi_2} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1} w(S) \,.$$

Thus, setting

$$\mathcal{F}_2 := \left\{ S \in \mathscr{I}(G) : S \cap \{x_1, x_2\} \neq \emptyset \right\},\$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_2} w(S) \ge 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_2}\right) \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1} w(S) \, .$$

In a recursive way and by the exact same argument, we can construct  $x_i \in V_i$  and

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{ S \in \mathscr{I}(G) : S \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_i\} \neq \emptyset \}$$

for  $i \leq n$ , such that

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_k} w(S) \ge 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{S \in F_{k-1}} w(S) \,.$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_n} w(S) \ge 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i} \right) = f(n) - 1.$$

Now, consider the vertex  $x \in V(G)$  the neighborhood of which is precisely  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . An independent set of G that contains x cannot be in  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Since  $w[x] \geq 1$ , we infer that

$$\sum_{I \in \mathscr{I}(G)} w(I) \ge \sum_{I \in \mathcal{F}_n} w(I) + w[x] \ge f(n)$$

Hence,  $\chi_f(G) \ge f(n)$ , as wanted.

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1 : Upper Bound

Again, we follow the notation of Theorem 1 and let

$$f(n) := 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{k \ge i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i} \right)$$

. For convenience, we set  $V_i := V(G_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Further, let  $V_0$  be the vertices of G not in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ . Recall that  $V_0$  is an independent set and there are no edges between  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Therefore, every maximal independent set S of G is determined by its intersection with the sets  $V_i$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , since  $S \cap V_0$  is then composed of the vertices of  $V_0$  with no neighbors in any set  $S \cap V_i$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

To prove the upper bound, we define a weighting w of  $\mathscr{I}(G)$  of total weight  $\max(\chi_n, f(n))$ . To this end, only a subfamily of the maximal stable sets of G will be assigned a positive weight by w.

For  $i = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  we define the collection  $\mathcal{F}_i$  of stable sets of G by

$$\mathcal{F}_i := \{ S \in \mathscr{I}(G) : \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, S \cap V_j = \emptyset \text{ if and only if } j < i \}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i$$
 .

We first define a weighting p of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a product of weightings of each graph  $G_i$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , set  $\mathscr{I}_i := \mathscr{I}(G_i)$  and let  $w_i$  be a fractional

 $\chi_i$ -coloring of  $G_i$  such that  $w_i[v] = 1$  for every  $v \in V_i$ . (Note that  $w_i(\emptyset) = 0$ .) We define  $p_i : \mathscr{I}_i \to \mathbf{R}^+$  by  $p_i(S) := c_i(S)/\chi_i$  if  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $p_i(\emptyset) := 1$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{S\in\mathscr{I}_i\backslash\{\emptyset\}}p_i(S)=1$$

and

$$\forall x \in V_i, \quad p_i[x] = \frac{1}{\chi_i}.$$

We now define p as

$$p: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$
  
 $S \longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(S \cap V_i).$ 

The next lemma states some useful properties of p.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . The weighting p satisfies the following.

(i)

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_i} p(S) = 1 \,.$$

(ii) For each  $x \in V_j$ , if  $i \leq j$  then

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ x \in S}} p(S) = \frac{1}{\chi_j}.$$

If i > j, this sum is equal to zero since none of the elements of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  intersects  $V_j$ .

(iii) For each  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in V_1 \times V_2 \times, \ldots \times V_n$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ S \cap \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \emptyset}} p(S) = \prod_{k \ge i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k} \right) \,.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. First,

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_i} p(S) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_i} \prod_{k \ge i} p_k(S \cap V_i)$$
$$= \prod_{k \ge i} \sum_{S \in \mathscr{I}_k \setminus \emptyset} p_k(S)$$
$$= 1,$$

which proves (i).

Similarly, if  $x \in V_j$  and  $i \leq j$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ x \in S}} p(S) = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ x \in S}} \prod_{k \ge i} p_k(S \cap V_i)$$
$$= \left( \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathscr{I}_j \\ x \in S}} p_j(S) \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{k \ge i \\ k \ne j}} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathscr{I}_k \setminus \emptyset}} p_k(S) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\chi_j}.$$

Further, if i > j then no element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  intersects  $V_j$ , and hence (ii) holds. We omit the proof of (iii), which can be established similarly by again switching the sum and the product signs and using (ii).

We are ready to define our final weighting w of  $\mathscr{I}(G)$ . For convenience, set  $\chi_0 := 0$ . For every  $S \in \mathscr{F}$ , we define w(S) to be  $(\chi_i - \chi_{i-1}) \cdot p(S)$  where  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $S \in \mathscr{F}_i$ . Further, we set  $w(V_0) := \max(0, f(n) - \chi_n)$ ; all the other independent sets are assigned weight 0 by w. Recall that the graphs  $G_i$  are ordered such that  $\chi_i \geq \chi_{i-1}$ .

Lemma 2(i) implies that

$$\sum_{S \in \mathscr{I}(G)} w(S) = \sum_{S \in \mathscr{F}} w(S) + w(V_0)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_i - \chi_{i-1}) \cdot \sum_{S \in \mathscr{F}_i} p(S) + \max(0, f(n) - \chi_n)$$
$$= \chi_n + \max(0, f(n) - \chi_n)$$
$$= \max(\chi_n, f(n)).$$

By Lemma 2(*ii*), for each  $x \in V_j$ 

$$w[x] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\chi_i - \chi_{i-1}) \cdot \sum_{\substack{S \in F_i \\ x \in S}} p(S)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\chi_j} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\chi_i - \chi_{i-1})$$
$$= 1.$$

It remains to show that  $w[x] \ge 1$  if  $x \in V_0$ . Let  $x \in V_0$ , and let  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in V_1 \times V_2 \times \ldots \times V_n$  be the *n*-uple of its neighbors in *G*. Then, using Lemma 2(*iii*),

$$\begin{split} w[x] &= w(V_0) + \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F} \\ S \cap \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \emptyset}} w(S) = w(V_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ S \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \emptyset}} w(S) \\ &= w(V_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left(\chi_i - \chi_{i-1}\right) \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \right) \\ &= w(V_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \chi_i \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &= w(V_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (\chi_i - 1) \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &= w(V_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (\chi_i - 1) \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &= w(V_0) + \chi_n - 1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \prod_{k \ge i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi_i}\right) \\ &= \max(0, f(n) - \chi_n) + \chi_n + 1 - f(n) \\ &\ge 1. \end{split}$$

Hence, w is a fractional  $\max(\chi_n, f(n))$ -coloring of G, which concludes the proof.

# References

- P. Erdős. Graph theory and probability. Canad. J. Math., 11:34–38, 1959.
- T. Jacobs. Fractional Colourings and the Mycielski graphs. Master thesis, Portland State University, 2006.
- [3] M. Larsen, J. Propp, and D. Ullman. The fractional chromatic number of Mycielski's graphs. J. Graph Theory, 19(3):411–416, 1995.
- [4] L. Lovász. On chromatic number of finite set-systems. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 19:59–67, 1968.

- [5] J. Mycielski. Sur le coloriage des graphs. Colloq. Math., 3:161-162, 1955. http://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/ksiazki/cm/cm3/cm3119.pdf.
- [6] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl. A short proof of the existence of highly chromatic hypergraphs without short cycles. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 27(2):225– 227, 1979.
- [7] E. R. Scheinerman and D. H. Ullman. Fractional graph theory. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1997.
- [8] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes. Mat. Sbornik N.S., 24(66):163–188, 1949. In Russian. English translation in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 79 (1952).